

## The Applicant's Examination Progress Tracker and Statement of Commonality





Document status					
Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Procedural Deadline	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	August 2024
F02	Deadline 1	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	October 2024
F03	Deadline 2	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	October 2024
F04	Deadline 4	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	December 2024
F05	Deadline 6	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	February 2025
Prepared	by:	Prepar	ed for:		
RPS			n Offshore Wind	d Limited	



### **Contents**

1 E)	XAMINATION PROGRESS TRACKER AND STATEMENT OF COMMONALITY	1
1.	1 Introduction	1
1.2	2 Overview of the Morgan Generation Assets	1
	3 Examination Progress Tracker	
1.4	4 Statements of Common Ground	34
Table	es e	
Table 1.	1: Position definitions and colour coding	2
Table 1.2	2: Examination progress tracker	3
	3: Status of SoCG submissions	35



## **Glossary**

Term	Meaning
Applicant	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Morgan Array Area	The area within which the wind turbines, foundations, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, scour protection, cable protection and offshore substation platforms (OSPs) forming part of the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets will be located.
Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets	This is the name given to the Morgan Generation Assets project as a whole (includes all infrastructure and activities associated with the project construction, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning).

## **Acronyms**

Acronym	Description
ACP	Airspace Change Process
ADPO	Approved Procedures Design Organisation
AEZ	Archaeological Exclusion Zone
CRM	Collision Risk Modelling
DIO	Defence Infrastructure Organisation
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ExA	Examining Authority
FLCP	Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan
HRA	Habitats Regulations Assessment
IFP	Instrument Flight Procedure
IoM	Isle of Man
IoMSPC	Isle of Man Steam Packet Company
IPMP	In-principle Monitoring Plan
ISAA	Information to Support an Appropriate Assessment
LSE	Likely Significant Effect
MSA	Minimum Sector Altitude
MGN	Marine Guidance Note
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol
MSA	Minimum Sector Altitude
NAS	Noise Abatement System
NATS	National Air Traffic Service
NFFO	National Federation of Fishermen's Organisation



Acronym	Description
NRA	Navigation Risk Assessment
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform
PADS	Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary
PSR	Primary Surveillance Radar
REWS	Radar Early Warning Systems
SAR	Search and Rescue
SLVIA	Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
SMZ	Scallop Mitigation Zone
SNCB	Statutory Nature Conservation Body
SPA	Special Protection Area
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
UWSMS	Underwater Sound Management Strategy
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance
VHF	Very High Frequency



## 1 EXAMINATION PROGRESS TRACKER AND STATEMENT OF COMMONALITY

#### 1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1.1 This document has been prepared in response to Orsted IPs submissions and responses to the Examining Authority's second written questions (ExQ2), made at Deadline 5.
- 1.1.1.2 On 05 August 2024, the Examining Authority (ExA) published the Rule 6 Letter in relation to the Examination of the application by Morgan Offshore Wind Limited ('the Applicant') for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets (hereafter referred to as the 'Morgan Generation Assets').
- 1.1.1.3 The Rule 6 letter set out the requirement for the Applicant to prepare a Statement of Commonality to capture commonality between the Applicant and each party for whom the ExA has requested the submission of a Statement of Common Ground (SoCG), and any additional parties for whom a SoCG is proposed. The letter also requests that the Applicant prepare an Examination Progress Tracker reporting on what it considers are the principal and other notable issues in the Examination.
- 1.1.1.4 SoCGs are being prepared between the Applicant and other parties to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement with these parties in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Morgan Generation Assets. The Interested Parties where SoCG are being progressed is presented in Table 1.3.
- 1.1.1.5 The Rule 6 letter states it would be helpful and reduce duplication if the Statement of Commonality could be combined with the Examination Progress Tracker. This document therefore presents the Examination Progress Tracker 'setting out progress against key issues under discussion and incorporates progress on the SoCGs that have been drafted with Interested Parties (IPs).
- 1.1.1.6 On 12 September 2024, the ExA published the Rule 8 and 9 Letter with an updated Examination Timetable to replace the draft Timetable outlined in The Rule 6 Letter. The timetable requests that the Applicant's Final Examination Progress Tracker/update to the Statement of Commonality is submitted into the Examination at Deadline 6 on 27 February 2024.
- 1.1.1.7 This Final Examination Progress Tracker (combined with the Statement of Commonality) is an update to the Mid-Examination Progress Tracker submitted at Deadline 4 (REP4-012). It incorporates updates from the final SoCGs submitted at Deadline 6 on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2025.

### 1.2 Overview of the Morgan Generation Assets

1.2.1.1 The Morgan Generation Assets is 280 km² in area and is located 22.22 km (12 nm) from the Isle of Man coastline, 37.13 km (20.1 nm) from the northwest coast of England and 58.5 km (31.6 nm) from the Welsh coastline (Anglesey) (when measured from Mean High Water Springs (MHWS)). The Morgan Generation Assets is located wholly within English offshore waters (beyond 12 nm from the English coast).

The key components of the Morgan Generation Assets include:

- Offshore wind turbines
- Foundations (for wind turbines and Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs))





- OSPs
- Scour protection
- Cable protection
- Inter-array cables linking the individual wind turbines to the OSPs
- Offshore interconnector cable(s).

#### 1.3 Examination Progress Tracker

- 1.3.1.1 The Examination Progress Tracker is set out in the form of a table which presents the matters that the Applicant considers to be the principal and notable issues in the Examination. These matters have been identified through Relevant Representations, Written Representations and Local Impact Reports (LIR) (where relevant).
- 1.3.1.2 Table 1.2 presents the issue and/or sub issue, the IPs which have raised them, a summary of the concerns raised, the up-to-date positions of the respective parties, and any progress to resolution.
- 1.3.1.3 The Examination Progress Tracker combines the Statement of Commonality as requested by the ExA with Table 1.2 including reference to where a SoCG is being progressed to address the matter. Further details on SoCG progress at each Deadline is presented in Table 1.3. For full details of the items under discussion with each stakeholder, please refer to the relevant SoCG (where available).
- 1.3.1.4 For ease of reference the Examination Progress Tracker table is set out using a 'traffic-lighting' system to guide the reader to the likelihood of resolving the issues. This is set out in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Position definitions and colour coding.

Colour	Definition
Green	The Interested Party/Parties' and the Applicant's positions are aligned.
Light Green	The Interested Party/Parties' and the Applicant are making progress to align their positions but further work is required to achieve that.  Discussions are ongoing and matter is capable of resolution.
Yellow	The Interested Party/Parties' and the Applicant are making progress to align their positions but further work is required to achieve that.  Discussions are ongoing and matter is likely capable of resolution.
Amber	The Interested Party/Parties' and the Applicant are making progress to align their positions but further work is required to achieve that.  Discussions are ongoing and matter may not reach resolution.
Red	The Interested Party/Parties' and the Applicant are unable to align their positions.  Matter will not reach resolution.  All matters not agreed in SoCG.



 Table 1.2:
 Examination progress tracker.

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
1 – Aviation and	Radar			
Effects on safety and operations of civil and military aviation and radar	Assessment of effects to civil or military aviation and proposed mitigation – Minimum Sector Altitude (MSA) and assessment and mitigation of effects to Primary Surveillance radar and mitigation measures	BAE Systems / Walney Aerodrome and Warton Aerodrome	Yellow: The Applicant is progressing discussions with BAE Systems / Walney Aerodrome and Warton Aerodrome with a view to agreeing a resolution. Matter is unresolved at Deadline 6.  Key Issues:  BAE Systems requires assessment of current and future Instrument Flight Procedures (IFPs) and Minimum Sector Altitude (MSA) by their Approved Procedure Design Organisation (ADPO), NATS Services Limited at Walney Aerodrome and the potential for project only and cumulative impacts on radio communication (VHF at Walney and UHF and VHF at Warton) and consideration of the results of those assessments before they can finalise their position on the proposal.  The Applicant has placed a contract with NATS Services Limited to undertake the IFP / MSA, VHF and UHF assessments request by BAE Systems and has provided the information requested by NATS Services Limited to allow them to commence those assessments. Osprey (the ADPO for Warton Aerodrome) has also been instructed to update its IFP / MSA assessment as requested by BAE Systems.  The NATS Services Limited assessments are not expected until after the close of the Examination. Accordingly, agreement of this matter cannot be confirmed, and it remains a point of ongoing discussion between the parties.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matter is unresolved.
		DIO	Yellow: The Applicant is progressing discussions with the DIO with a view to agreeing a resolution. Matter is unresolved at Deadline 6.  Key Issues: The Applicant has submitted a Mitigation Proposal to DIO on 21 February 2025. DIO will engage with BAE Systems Warton on the suitability of the Mitigation Proposal and undertake technical and operational assessments on the mitigation proposal. Subject to	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matter is unresolved.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			those assessments confirming that the Mitigation Proposal is viable, DIO will write to Secretary of State for the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero to remove their objection and confirm that the existing DCO requirement 5 'Air traffic services at Warton Aerodrome' is appropriate or provide a new requirement.	
			The technical and operational assessments are generally completed within 6 weeks, therefore this workstream will extend beyond the end of the Examination, but it is expected that this matter can be concluded in the next few months.	
			DIO state that it must maintain its objection to the Morgan Generation Assets and the DCO application until such time as the Mitigation Proposal has been technically and operationally assessed and it has been demonstrated that the Mitigation Proposal is viable.	
	Assessment and mitigation of effects to Primary Surveillance radar and mitigation measures	NATS Safeguarding	Yellow: The Applicant is progressing discussions with NATS Safeguarding with a view to agreeing a resolution. However, the matter is unresolved at Deadline 6.  Key issues:  Predicted impacts from the Morgan Generation Assets on NATS Lowther Hill PSR and NATS St Anne's PSR have been correctly identified and assessed within Volume 2, Chapter 11: Aviation and radar (APP-015). The Applicant has received details of preferred mitigation solutions from NATS (Large Blanking and an ACP) and the parties are engaging on a commercial agreement. The draft Development Consent Order (DCO) (AS-003) includes under Requirement 4, restrictions on operation until the appropriate mitigation for Lowther Hill PSR and St Anne's PSR is implemented.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 5. Matter is unresolved.
			Whilst the parties have been engaging on a commercial agreement, this has not been possible to finalise in the signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 5. The parties are, however, committed to continuing engagement on the commercial agreement as a priority and will provide an update at the appropriate time either through further correspondence to the Examining Authority or, should this extend beyond the closure of	

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			the Examination, the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero.	
	Assessment of effects to civil or military aviation and proposed mitigation – Very High Frequency (VHF) Communications and review of Instrument Approach Procedures (IAPs)	Blackpool Airport	Yellow: The Applicant is progressing discussions with Blackpool Airport with a view to agreeing a resolution. Matter is unresolved at Deadline 6.  Key Issues:  The Applicant has accepted the IP's preference that matters are dealt with through the provision of requirements, and has included within the draft DCO at Deadline 5 requirement 9 (REP5-017. This requirement aligns with the requirement agreed for Mona Offshore Wind Project and which was requested by Blackpool Airport at Deadline 5 and ISH3.  Subsequent to Deadline 5, Blackpool Airport requested that the requirement include reference to the Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs). The Applicant considers that the static nature of the OSPs and its low height (70m for main built form, or 95m including ancillaries such as lightning protection) means that it is not material to aviation matters. The Applicant therefore does not consider this addition to the requirement to be necessary.  The Applicant has agreed to further assessment in regard to VHF. The Applicant would note that it does not anticipate delivery of a NATS study for Blackpool, having accepted the need for further assessment and having produced an initial assessment on the Mona project. The Applicant accepted that Blackpool Airport would commission a stage 2 assessment that considered project alone and cumulative impacts for the Mona, Morgan and Morecambe projects by the aerodrome's APDO, Cyrrus. The Applicant looks forward to further dialogue on this matter with the aerodrome.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.  Matter is unresolved, engagement continues
	Assessment of effects to civil or military aviation and proposed mitigation – VHF communications	Ronaldsway Airport (IoM Airport)	<b>Green:</b> Ronaldsway Airport and the Applicant are agreed on matters relating to VHF communications in the assessment of effects to civil or military aviation and proposed mitigation.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
	Assessment of effects to civil or military aviation and proposed mitigation - navigation aids	Ronaldsway Airport (IoM Airport)	<b>Green:</b> Ronaldsway Airport and the Applicant are agreed on matters relating to navigation aids in the assessment of effects to civil or military aviation and proposed mitigation.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
	Potential impacts on naval surface or sub- sea operations	DIO	<b>Green:</b> The DIO has confirmed that there is no overlap with military danger areas or Practice and Exercise Areas (PEXA) and therefore there are no issues with naval surface or subsea operations.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 1.
	Effects to Radar Early Warning Systems (REWS) on existing offshore infrastructure	Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy	Green: The Millom West platform is now hydrocarbon free so there is no requirement for a permanent REWS. The REWS that was on the Millom West platform has been decommissioned. During removal operations, the removal vessel will be protected by its own radar system. The potential for the Morgan Generation Assets to generate "false positives" and/or mask the movement of vessels moving towards the Millom West platform has yet to be evaluated.  The Applicant understands that the Millom East assets will need to rely on AIS and marine radar for asset integrity. Based on the available evidence for marine radar, effects may extend for up to 1.5 nm from an offshore wind farm, with intolerable impacts experienced up to 0.5 nm from an offshore wind farm (paragraph 1.8.12.4). The Millom East assets are located 2.07 nm from the Morgan Array Area. Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea)	Considered resolved, as no further discussion with IP on this matter in response to position submitted in SOCG at Deadline1.  Note the Applicant has not progressed a SoCG with Harbour Energy for Deadline 6.
			Limited/Harbour Energy has advised that during plugging and abandoning of the Millom East wells and removal of the wellhead, any rig or removal vessel will be protected by its own radar system. The potential for the Morgan Generation Assets to generate "false positives" and/or mask the movement of vessels moving towards the Millom East location has yet to be evaluated. Whilst discussions are ongoing with the IP regarding other matters, the Applicant does not believe that this remains a concern for the IP given the REWS has been removed and it has not featured in recent correspondence.	

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
	Assessment of effects on microwave communications and proposed mitigation	Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy	<b>Green:</b> The Millom West and Millom East platforms will be able to reply on satellite communications and will not be affected by the Morgan Generation Assets.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 1.
2 - Biodiversity	and Ecology			
Benthic ecology and marine physical processes	Matters relating to benthic ecology and marine physical processes, including assessment of	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	<b>Green:</b> IoM Government (TSC) agrees with the Applicant's position on discussion points focussing on Benthic ecology and marine physical processes.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 4.
	effects, cumulative impacts and mitigations proposed	Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO agrees with the Applicant's position on discussion points focussing on Benthic ecology and marine physical processes.	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 5.
		Natural England	Amber: The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects most issues to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6. Some matters relating to decommissioning and commitment to removal of infrastructure may not be resolved and remain outstanding.	Issues expected to be partially resolved in Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.
				Natural England has welcomed the inclusion of monitoring measures for physical processes and benthic ecology in the Applicant's updated In Principal Monitoring Plan submitted at Deadline 2 (REP2-015) in their written response at Deadline 3 (REP3-047).
			Natural England has residual concerns regarding updates to the maximum design scenario within the Environmental Statement documentation, commitments to removal of infrastructure at decommissioning, sediment disposal for gravity bases and provision of indicative cable crossing locations. The Applicant has responded to these points in their response to Natural England at Deadline 5 and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.	

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
Fish and shellfish ecology	Matters relating to fish and shellfish ecology including assessment of	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	<b>Green:</b> IoM Government (TSC) agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to fish and shellfish ecology.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 4.
	effects, cumulative impacts and mitigations proposed	Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to fish and Shellfish ecology.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
		Natural England	<b>Green:</b> Natural England agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to fish and shellfish ecology.	Natural England provided an updated Risk and Issues log at Deadline 5 (REP5-082b)
		Natural Resources Wales	<b>Green:</b> Natural Resources Wales agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to fish and shellfish ecology.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
Marine mammal ecology	Matters relating to marine mammal ecology including assessment of effects, cumulative impacts and mitigations proposed	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	<b>Green:</b> IoM Government (TSC) agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to marine mammal ecology.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 4.
		Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to marine mammal ecology.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
		Natural England	<b>Amber:</b> The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects most issues to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6. Some matters relating to SBP monitoring may not be resolved and remain outstanding.	Issues expected to be partially resolved in Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.
			Natural England recommend that the Applicant fully commits to using NAS as mitigation measure to reduce both injury and disturbance to marine mammal receptors during construction activities.	
			The UWSMS wording has been updated following the publication of Defra's policy paper on Reducing Marine Noise. The Applicant has included an additional condition (Schedules 3 & 4, condition 22) in the DCO/DML. The Applicant believes that this will resolve outstanding concerns on NAS for the project and expects this	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			issue to be closed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.	
			Monitoring for marine mammals has not been proposed on the basis that with the implementation of adopted measures, the risk of injury can be fully mitigated and the effect of disturbance for all impacts was concluded to be not significant in EIA terms. The Applicant provided a response at Deadline 5 submission (REP5-009) to Natural England's response to ExAQ1 MM 1.23, detailing that the impact assessment for injury and disturbance from elevated underwater sound generated from site investigation survey sources concluded that there was no potential for significant effects as a result of site investigation survey sources (including Sub-Bottom Profilers (SBP)) and therefore the inclusion of monitoring is disproportionate to the risk. The Applicant has provided further information on SBP monitoring in its Annex to responses to IP submissions made at Deadline 5 (S_D6_3.3) and into the Examination at Deadline 6 (S_D6_3.5).	
	Matters relating to marine mammal ecology in relation to	Natural Resources Wales	<b>Green:</b> Natural Resources Wales agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to marine mammal ecology in relation to Welsh designated sites noting the following caveats:	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6, noting caveats.
	Welsh designated sites		Natural Resources Wales is in agreement pending the Applicant submitting updates of documents including the Marine mammal chapter (S_D6_19), Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (S_D6_31) and the Underwater sound management strategy (S_D6_30) at Deadline 6.	
Ornithology	Matters relating to marine ornithology including assessment of effects, cumulative impacts and mitigations proposed	Natural England	<b>Green:</b> The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects these issues to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.  In relation to Natural England's outstanding methodological concerns (reference B1, B2, B22, B26, B27, B31, B32, B33, B36, B37, B47, B48, B49 and B55 of their Risk and Issues log REP5-082b), the Applicant submitted the requested ornithological clarification information, following a methodology advised by Natural England, at Deadline 5. Following review of a draft version,	Natural England provided an updated risks and issues log at Deadline 5. The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			the Applicant notes that Natural England anticipate confirming that this matter is resolved at Deadline 6.	
			Natural England raised outstanding concerns regarding the cumulative effects assessment for displacement, the Applicant has submitted a clarification note at Deadline 6 that provides the population modelling for guillemot requested by Natural England (S_D6_39). This note concludes that the cumulative impact for guillemot is of negligible significance which is consistent with the conclusions reached in Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (APP-023).	
		Natural England	Amber: Matters may not reach resolution.	Issue expected to be partially resolved in Risk and Issues log at
			Key Issues:  Natural England has advised that the Applicant should commit to post-consent monitoring in relation to key offshore ornithology receptors. In relation to post-consent monitoring of Manx shearwater specifically, the Applicant notes the agreement in relation to there being no risk of significant effect in EIA or HRA terms on this species, and that this species has historically not been considered sensitive to offshore wind development by the SNCB. The Applicant does not consider there to be any uncertainty or risk associated with the assessment conclusions that merits particular monitoring validation attention. Whilst the Applicant considers that studies to address this uncertainty must be undertaken at relevant projects that are located in sea areas, or undertaken strategically at a scale, where monitoring will provide an adequate amount of data to inform statistically robust conclusions. The Morgan Generation Assets is not located in an area of sea important for Manx shearwater.	Deadline 6.
			Natural England welcomed the Applicant's Deadline 5 submission of an SNCB-advised summary of impact figures and considered this resolved key concerns around methodological issues within the ES. Natural England has concerns, however, that the cumulative impacts of multiple OWFs have the potential to cause a significant adverse effect at the EIA scale for great black-backed gull. The Applicant disagrees with Natural England's conclusions in relation to impacts on great black-backed gull. This is discussed as	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			part of the Applicant's submission at Deadline 5, specifically REP5-031. The submission REP5-031 provides additional population viability analysis and further evidence that leads to the same conclusion (i.e. an impact of minor significance that is not significant in EIA terms) as reached in REP2-022 and Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (APP-023). The matter is currently outstanding and the Applicant expects partial resolution in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.	
		Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	<b>Red:</b> The RSPB and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
			In their Relevant Representation (RR-035), RSPB expressed outstanding concerns that the Manx shearwater baseline characterisation using digital aerial surveys (DAS) does not adequately capture the activity of the species. RSPB notes that these concerns relate to wider industry limitations on baseline survey methods and assessment of impacts on Manx shearwater and are therefore wider than a project specific issue.  RSPB consider that the Assessment has not fully considered indirect ecosystem impacts (e.g. displacement from foraging areas, additional energy expenditure, potential impacts on forage fish and wider ecosystem impacts such as changes in stratification). RSPB notes that these concerns relate to wider industry limitations on the assessment of indirect ecosystem impacts and are therefore wider than a project specific issue.	Matters not agreed.
			The RSPB has outstanding concerns with the impact assessment methodology relating to consideration of impacts compounded by Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (REP5-091).	
			The RSPB are agreed with the Applicant's proposed mitigation measures.	
	Matters relating to marine ornithology and ecology in	Natural Resources Wales	<b>Light Green:</b> Natural Resources Wales agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to offshore ornithology and ecology in relation to Welsh designated sites, noting the following	Matters agreed with caveats in SoCG at Deadline 6. Outstanding matter not agreed but not considered material.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
	relation to Welsh designated sites		caveats and matter which is considered not agreed but not material:	
			NRW note that in the document 'S_D5_16.2 Annex 16.2 to Ornithological assessment clarification data Welsh sites' [REP5-033] submitted at Deadline 5, the Applicant has presented potential impacts from the project alone and cumulatively/incombination for using the species-group avoidance rates and SNCB advised flight speeds. Therefore, NRW Advisory are content with this, and we have based our advice on the predicted impacts based on these input parameters.	
			Following the updates undertaken by the Applicant through the examination to the assessments of impacts to the features of the Pen y Gogarth/Great Orme's Head SSSI, NRW consider there is the potential for the cumulative impacts to have the potential to give rise to a moderate (i.e. significant) adverse impact to the kittiwake feature of the Pen y Gogarth/Great Orme's Head SSSI. However, we are content that the Applicant has provided proportionate mitigation (through the air draught height) for kittiwake for this site (see Section 1.1 of REP5- 083a) and whilst the matter is not agreed NRW it is considered to be not material.	
3 - Commercial	Fisheries			
Displacement of any fishing activities and any consequent social, economic or environmental effects		Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (1) (National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO), Whitehaven Fishermen's Cooperative Ltd (WFC) and Welsh Fishermen's Association (WFA))	<b>Green:</b> The NFFO, WFC and WFA have confirmed they are happy that the commercial fisheries baseline and environmental statement (APP-024) are a fair and reasonable representation of the fishing activities in the area.	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 4.
		Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (2)	<b>Green:</b> The SFF (representing SPFA, SWFPA and WCSP) and ANIFPO have confirmed they are happy that the commercial fisheries baseline and environmental statement (APP-024) are a	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
		(Scottish Fishermen's Federation (SFF), representing Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association (SPFA), Scottish White Fish Producers Association (SWFPA), and West Coast Sea Products (WCSP) Ltd and ANIFPO).	fair and reasonable representation of the fishing activities in the area.	
	Assessment and proposed mitigation of effects on commercial fishing	Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (1) (National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO), representing Whitehaven Fishermen's	Green: NFFO (representing WFC and WFA) agrees with the Applicants position relating to mitigation for commercial fisheries impacts, noting the following caveats:  The SMZ and commercial fisheries activity monitoring is welcome. However, the assumption of return to fishing at pre-construction levels as the key mitigator is yet to be proven. NFFO acknowledge that the Applicant can't do anymore, with fisheries concerns being addressed with the mitigation put in place.	Matters agreed with caveats in SoCG at Deadline 5.
		Cooperative Ltd (WFC) and Welsh Fishermen's Association (WFA))	Red: NFFO and the Applicant are unable to align their positions.  Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  NFFO acknowledge that previous concerns on methodology were	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 5. Matters not agreed.
			incorporated into the assessment, but NFFO have remaining industry-wide concerns on consideration of socioeconomic impacts.	
			It is not possible for the NFFO to agree with the assessment conclusions when concerns remain around the data and methodology used. However, it is recognised that the Applicant has to follow a prescribed framework for assessment.	
			NFFO have concerns that displacement of commercial fishing in the region will result in economic harm through loss of earnings from the ground and additional operating costs due to increased steaming times during construction and operation of the project as	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			well as contributing to the spatial squeeze on fisheries in the region.  The SMZ and post construction monitoring of fisheries activities is welcome. However, NFFO do not agree with the cumulative displacement assessment.	
		Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (2) (Scottish Fishermen's Federation (SFF), representing Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association (SPFA), Scottish White Fish Producers Association (SWFPA), and West Coast Sea Products (WCSP) Ltd and ANIFPO).	Red: SFF (representing SPFA, SWFPA, WCSP) and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution. Key Issues:  Outstanding concerns relate to the specific analysis of the commercial fisheries assessment in relation to how it will impact fisheries stakeholders. SFF has outstanding concerns on the approach to the assessment and that it is based on expert judgement which does not represent the real impacts of the development on commercial fisheries.  WCSP has concerns that multiple fishery related impacts have been underscored by the EIA commercial fisheries chapter both project specific and cumulatively.  There are residual concerns on the presence of cables within the Scallop Mitigation Zone (SMZ) and turbines along the SMZ boundary.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed.
	Coexistence in relation to commercial fisheries and development of the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan	Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (1) (National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO), representing Whitehaven Fishermen's Cooperative Ltd (WFC) and Welsh Fishermen's Association (WFA))	<b>Green:</b> NFFO, WFC and WFA agrees with the Applicants position relating to coexistence noting the following caveats:  NFFO, WFC and WFA have concerns over the minimum burial depth of 0.5 m but acknowledge that concerns will be addressed at a later stage.  NFFO, WFC and WFA request suitable dissemination of information related to wet cable storage and capped ends of cables during construction. This information, along with guard vessels would mitigate risks to fishermen.	Matters agreed with caveats in SoCG at Deadline 5.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
		Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (2) (Scottish Fishermen's	<b>Green:</b> SFF, SPFA, SWFPA and WCSP agree with the Applicant's position relating to coexistence measures with the exception of the SMZ which is not agreed as detailed below.	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
		Federation (SFF), representing Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association (SPFA), Scottish White Fish Producers Association (SWFPA), and West Coast Sea Products (WCSP) Ltd and ANIFPO.	Red: SFF, SPFA, SWFPA, WCSP and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  Concern was raised about the presence of cables in the SMZ and consideration for longer term monitoring.  The presence of perimeter WTGs in combination with new potential cable burials will present a challenge to fish — especially in weather. Stakeholders can't agree to the SMZ being described as a mitigation measure if cables are situated within it.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed
	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	<b>Green:</b> IoM Government (TSC) agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the commercial fisheries assessment.	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
4 - Habitats Reg	ulations Assessm	ent		
Interrogation of data and information on which the HRA would be based	Collision Risk Modelling (CRM) and the applicant's displacement assessments and subsequent apportioning	Natural England	Green: Natural England agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the CRM, displacement assessments and apportioning.  Natural England confirmed in their Risk and Issues log submitted at Deadline 3 (REP3-049) that following Applicant clarifications regarding the CRM methodology that Natural England is content that appropriate CRM has been undertaken and the matter is considered closed at Deadline 3.	Natural England provided Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary (PADS) at DL1 and updated risks and issues log at DLs 1, 2 and 3. Matter Agreed.
		Natural Resources Wales	<b>Green:</b> Natural Resources Wales agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the CRM, displacement assessments and apportioning.	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
		Royal Society for the Protection or Birds	Red: The RSPB and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  The RSPB is aware that the Applicant has provided updated information relating to these concerns at Deadline 5 (e.g. see REP5-032-REP5-035). However, due to resource constraints, the RSPB has been unable to review the new information and reach conclusions on whether the RSPB's concerns have been addressed.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed.
Screening of protected sites, likely significant effects, and those taken forward for assessment	The approach the Applicant has taken to the HRA screening and assessment	Natural England	Green: The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.  Natural England requested further information regarding confidence intervals associated with collision estimates using SNCB parameters. The Applicant submitted the requested ornithological clarification information, following a methodology advised by Natural England, at Deadline 5. Following review of a draft version, the Applicant notes that Natural England anticipate confirming that this matter is resolved at Deadline 6.	updated risks and issues log at Deadline 5. The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.
		Natural Resources Wales	<b>Green:</b> Natural Resources Wales agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the HRA screening and assessment in relation to Welsh designated sites with the following caveats: Natural Resources Wales notes in RR-027 that the approach taken by the Applicant in this assessment is considered appropriate regarding the project alone assessment for this particular project, where there is potential connectivity to a very large number of sites, but the likelihood of substantial impacts is generally low. It should be acknowledged that this approach will not necessarily be appropriate for all offshore wind cases.	
		Royal Society for the Protection or Birds	<b>Green</b> : RSPB agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the HRA screening and assessment noting the following caveats:  Outstanding concerns relating to Manx Shearwater.	Matters agreed with caveats in SoCG at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
Likelihood of adverse effects on the integrity of habitat sites including information to assess any potential derogation	combination on the	Natural England	<b>Green:</b> The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.  The Applicant submitted the requested ornithological clarification information, following a methodology advised by Natural England, at Deadline 5. Following review of a draft version, the Applicant notes that Natural England anticipate confirming that this matter is resolved at Deadline 6.	Natural England provided an updated risks and issues log at Deadline 5. The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.
		Natural Resources Wales	<b>Green:</b> Natural Resources Wales agrees with the Applicant's conclusion regarding no adverse effect alone or in-combination on the integrity of Welsh designated sites, noting the following caveat: Natural Resources Wales notes in RR-027 that the approach taken by the Applicant in this assessment is considered appropriate regarding the project alone assessment for this particular project, where there is potential connectivity to a very large number of sites, but the likelihood of substantial impacts is generally low. It should be acknowledged that this approach will not necessarily be appropriate for all offshore wind cases.	Matters agreed with caveats at Deadline 6.
		Royal Society for the Protection or Birds	Red: The RSPB and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  RSPB is unable to reach conclusions with regard to Adverse Effect on Integrity (AEOI) on Manx shearwater in relation to the following Special Protection Areas: Irish Sea Front SPA, Copeland Islands SPA, Glannau Aberdaron ac Ynys Enlli/Aberdaron Coast and Bardsey Island SPA, Skomer, Skokholm and the Seas off Pembrokeshire/Sgomer, Sgogwm a Moroedd Penfro SPA, Rum SPA, Isles of Scilly SPA and St Kilda SPA. The RSPB is aware that the Applicant has provided updated information relating to these concerns at Deadline 5 (e.g. see REP5-032-REP5-035).  However, due to resource constraints the RSPB has been unable to review the new information and reach conclusions on whether the RSPB's concerns have been addressed.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed.

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status	
Cumulative and incombination effects	Approach to the projects cumulative and in-combination assessments and the assessment of historic projects.	Natural England	<b>Green:</b> The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.  The Applicant submitted the requested ornithological clarification information, following a methodology advised by Natural England, at Deadline 5. Following review of a draft version, the Applicant notes that Natural England anticipate confirming that this matter is resolved at Deadline 6.	Natural England provided an updated risks and issues log at Deadline 5. The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters and expects this issue to be agreed in Natural England's Risk and Issues log at Deadline 6.	
		Natural Resources Wales	<b>Green:</b> The Applicant has responded on outstanding matters at Deadline 5. Natural Resources Wales agree that all Welsh designated sites with offshore ornithology features with the potential for LSE have been identified and assessed.	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.	
			Royal Society for the Protection or Birds	Red: The RSPB and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  The RSPB is aware that the Applicant has provided updated information relating to these concerns at Deadline 5 (e.g. see REP5-032-REP5-035).  However, due to resource constraints the RSPB has been unable to review the new information and reach conclusions on whether the RSPB's concerns have been addressed.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed.
5 - Other Offsho	ore Infrastructure a	nd Other Sea Use	rs		
Oil and gas infrastructure	Implications of Morgan Generation Assets proximity on decommissioning operations at Millom West and Millom East	Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy	Red: Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  The potential impact on Harbour Energy helicopter access to support temporary decommissioning operations at Millom West and Millom East. The Applicant is aware that the Millom West platform is now hydrocarbon free and the decommissioning programme for Millom West is anticipated to be completed before the installation of the wind turbine generators on the foundations, so there may not be a temporal overlap of activities. The Applicant	SoCG not progressed. A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			is aware that the decommissioning programme for Millom East is yet to be confirmed regarding any potential for the temporal overlap of activities.	
			Harbour Energy's submissions developed through the Examination, and its submission at Deadline 5 (REP5-064a) related to three aspects of the decommissioning of Millom East only: (i) potential restrictions on helicopter access to a Non-Production Installation that would be used for decommissioning (ii) potential simultaneous operations in the marine environment and (iii) marine access.	
			The Environmental Statement, Volume 2, Chapter 9 Other sea users (S_D6_22 Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users F02) and Chapter 11 Aviation and radar (APP-015) included an assessment of potential impacts on operations of oil and gas infrastructure, including helicopter access for Harbour Energy to the Millom Field (see section 11.9.2 of APP-015). The Environmental Statement concluded that, in EIA terms, any potential effect would be no more than minor and non-significant.	
			In respect of each issue raised by Harbour Energy, the Applicant does not consider that any further mitigation is necessary to be secured through the draft DCO, based on recent precedent and through the application of normal custom and practice for marine and industry co-ordination.	
			The Applicant has not progressed a SoCG with Harbour Energy for Deadline 6, given that the Applicant and IP's views are clearly stated in the responses to Deadline 5. Despite this, further meetings are scheduled week commencing 3 <sup>rd</sup> March and should further agreement be achieved on matters this will be communicated to the Examining Authority.	
	Marine corridors and the potential impact on vessel access to existing offshore	Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy	Red: Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:	SoCG not progressed. A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.
	energy assets		Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy has concerns regarding the Applicant's draft DCO not including	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			protective provisions for the protection of Harbour Energy. The draft DCO and DMLs do not allow for the Applicant to conduct works, including siting of temporary navigational aids or markers, outside of the Order Limits. The Order Limits do not overlap with the marine corridors requested by Harbour Energy.	
			The Applicant's position is that the site selection and design meet the policy tests set out in paragraph 2.8.345 of NPS EN-3, having been made with a view to avoiding or minimising disruption or economic loss or any adverse effect on safety to other offshore industries.	
			As set out in the Applicant's response to Harbour Energy's Deadline 5 submission (S_D6_3 Applicants response to IP submission at Deadline 5 F01), it is not possible for the Applicant to commit to any specific construction sequence and doing so could have significant drawbacks on the project design, execution scheme and overall business case. The Applicant therefore considers that the inclusion of Protective Provisions within the draft DCO would not be justified or reasonable.	
			The Applicant has not progressed a SoCG with Harbour Energy for Deadline 6, given that the Applicant and IP's views are clearly stated in the responses to Deadline 5. Despite this, further meetings are scheduled week commencing 3 March and should further agreement be achieved on matters this will be communicated to the Examining Authority.	
	Mutually exclusive operations	Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy	Red: Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:	SoCG not progressed. A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.
			Potential impacts affecting mutually exclusive simultaneous operations such as piling and diving operations. The Applicant will set up a Marine Coordination Centre and utilise pre-existing forums to coordinate all marine activities and the process for communication with other operators in the East Irish Sea will be established. Depending on the timing of Harbour Energy's decommissioning programme there may be no conflict with the Morgan Generation Assets. To the extent that there is potential for	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			conflict, the Applicant considers that this is a logistical matter that can be suitably managed through normal custom and practice for marine and industry co-ordination for mutually exclusive simultaneous operations and marine access.  The Applicant has not progressed a SoCG with Harbour Energy for Deadline 6, given that the Applicant and IP's views are clearly stated in the responses to Deadline 5. Despite this, further meetings are scheduled week commencing 3 March and should further agreement be achieved on matters this will be communicated to the Examining Authority.	
Telecommunications and electricity transmission infrastructure	Proximity of IOM Interconnector to Morgan Generation Assets	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	<b>Green:</b> IoM Government (TSC) agrees that there will be no significant effects on other sea users receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone or cumulatively with other projects and plans.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 4.
Other offshore renewable energy installations	Assessment of effects to existing and proposed infrastructure including wake effects	The Ørsted IPs (Barrow Offshore Wind Limited, Burbo Extension Ltd, Morecambe Wind Limited, Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited, Walney Extension Limited and Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Ltd)	an assessment of wake effects on the Ørsted IPs operational	SoCG not progressed. A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			As discussed during ISH3 it has not been possible to submit a Final SoCG at Deadline 6. The Applicant considers that both party's position is clearly stated in their written submissions and a further iteration of the SoCG would not provide further clarity or areas of agreement. On 21 February 2025, the Applicant and Orsted IPs agreed not to submit a Final signed SoCG.	
		Mooir Vannin	Red: Mooir Vannin and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  The Applicant's position on wake loss is that it is not covered by the NPS, it is not a planning matter for this application and is therefore not a material consideration for the examining authority. The Applicant would also note that the Mooir Vannin application has not yet been submitted into any planning system.  Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Limited considers that the Morgan Generation Assets will have an impact on the energy yield of the Mooir Vannin wind farm and a wake loss assessment considering the impacts of Morgan wind farm on Mooir Vannin should be undertaken by the Applicant and impacts appropriately mitigated.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matter not agreed.
		Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd	<b>Green:</b> Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd and Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd enjoy a collaborative working relationship, established in 2021 to jointly consider potential cumulative regional shipping and navigation matters and as a result of a joint transmission network DCO application that Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd is promoting with Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd. Therefore, through our established relationship, Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd and Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd have agreed that any requirements for co-existence or co-operation agreements can be managed between the parties outside of the DCO process, noting that currently no such agreements are anticipated by the parties to be required. On this basis, Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd is of the view that a SoCG is not required between Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets and Morgan Offshore Wind Project Generation Assets.	N/A



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
Mechanisms for co- existence and/ or co-operation	Co-existence with other offshore renewable installations and oil and gas infrastructure	The Ørsted IPs (Barrow Offshore Wind Limited, Burbo Extension Ltd, Morecambe Wind Limited, Ørsted Burbo (UK) Limited, Walney Extension Limited and Walney (UK) Offshore Windfarms Ltd)	As discussed during ISH3 it has not been possible to submit a Final SoCG at Deadline 6. The Applicant considers that both	SoCG not progressed. A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.
		Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy	Red: Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited/Harbour Energy and the Applicant are unable to align their positions. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  The Interested Parties and the Applicant have been unable to reach agreement in relation to decommissioning, access and mutually exclusive operations, as detailed above.  The Applicant has not progressed a SoCG with Harbour Energy for Deadline 6, given that the Applicant and IP's views are clearly stated in the responses to Deadline 5. Despite this, further meetings are scheduled week commencing 3 March and should further agreement be achieved on matters this will be communicated to the Examining Authority.	SoCG not progressed. A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.
6 – Shipping and	Navigation			
Maintenance of safe marine navigation	Assessment and mitigation of navigational safety effects	Trinity House	<b>Green:</b> Trinity House agree with the Applicant's position on discussion points focussing on assessment and mitigation of navigational safety effects or the matter has been deferred to the MCA.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 3.
	Draft DCO and Outline Plans	Trinity House	<b>Green:</b> Trinity House agree with the Applicant's position on the Draft DCO and outline plans, noting the following caveat:	Matters agreed with caveats in SoCG at Deadline 5.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			Trinity House is content with draft DCO (REP2-002) as submitted at Deadline 2, however the parties agree that TH.SN.30 will remain as an ongoing point of discussion subject to the final deadline in case it is necessary to engage on any additional revisions to the draft DCO beyond version REP2-002. The final DCO has been submitted at Deadline 6, therefore this matter is caveat is considered resolved.	
	Navigation and Safety	The UK Chamber of Shipping	<b>Green:</b> The UK Chamber of Shipping are in agreement on the findings of the Navigational Risk Assessment and Cumulative Regional Navigation Risk Assessment for the Morgan Generation Assets	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
			Amber: Matter may not reach resolution.  Key issues:  The Applicant has updated the Outline vessel traffic management plan (REP5-038) to consider towage capability of project vessels. The Applicant, when preparing the ERCoP post-consent preconstruction, will also undertake analysis into suitability and availability of project and third-party towage vessels to assist in an incident or emergency. The Chamber welcomes the Applicant's commitment to undertake analysis on emergency towing resource for the cumulative projects. Until said analysis is complete (post consent, pre construction) and results considered, the Chamber cannot agree this position that additional towing capability or resource is not required, however accepts this does not preclude consent.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matter not agreed
		Mooir Vannin	<b>Green:</b> Mooir Vannin agree that the 4.1nm gap between the Morgan Array Area and Mooir Vannin Array Area is compliant with the Shipping Route Template (MGN 654 Annex 2) and PIANC guidance (full round turn).	Matter agreed in SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
	Compliance with Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 and mitigations proposed including outline	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	<b>Green:</b> Agreement that the project is in compliance with MGN 654 (and its Annex 1 Assessment of risks) and with the mitigations proposed (NRA).	Matter agreed in SoCG submitted at Deadline 2.

_	EnBW	
	Partners in UK offshore	wind

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
	vessel traffic management plan and design layout principles			
Effects on routeing and operations of ferries, commercial shipping and ports, including deviation and adverse weather routing for lifeline ferry services and any consequent	Shipping and lifeline ferry services	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	Green: IoM Government (TSC) agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to shipping and lifeline ferry services noting the following caveats:  IoM TSC broadly agree noting that 4.1nm is concluded as ALARP following the hazard review session on 21 January 2025 but that concerns remain with commercial shipping companies.  TSC requests continued engagement with Ferry operators specifically the IOMSPC as operator of the Island's lifeline ferries.	Matters agreed with caveats in SoCG at Deadline 6.
social, economic or environmental effects	Effects on shipping and lifeline ferry services	Isle of Man Steam Packet Company	<b>Green:</b> The IoMSPC agrees with all of the Applicant's positions on the discussion points within the SoCG with the exception of cumulative impacts between Morgan Generation Assets and Mooir Vannin where there are concerns outstanding (detailed below).	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
			Red: IoMSPC and the Applicant are unable to align their positions regarding safety of navigation between Morgan Generation Assets and Mooir Vannin. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  IoMSPC do not agree with the Applicant's position in relation to the proposed 4.1nm gap between Morgan Generation Assets and Mooir Vannin and consider it not to be sufficient distance for passenger ships and passenger high speed craft transiting the area. IoMSPC consider the gap not to be ALARP given there is the sea room available to increase the gap distance further.	
	Assessment and proposed mitigation of cumulative impacts and effects to shipping and ferry services	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	Green: The Maritime and Coastguard Agency agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the assessment and proposed mitigation of cumulative impacts and effects to shipping and ferry services.  The MCA final position on navigational safety of the passage between Morgan Generation Assets and Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm was provided in the Issue Specific 3 Hearing on 12	Matters agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.

Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			February where the MCA confirmed 4.1 nm meets guidance and is accepted by MCA as Tolerable and ALARP.	
	Assessment of effects on shipping and scheduled ferry services and proposed mitigation	Stena line	Red: The Applicant is progressing discussions with Stena Line with a view to align positions and agree on the key conclusions of the NRA for Morgan Generation Assets.  Key Issues:  Stena has residual concerns regarding the following matters relating to the assessment of effects and proposed mitigation for shipping and navigation.  As per MCA response to ExQ2 (REP5-069) the Applicant will discuss towing capability with the MCA post consent and/or preconstruction stage. Stena Line notes this and has reiterated in their SoCG that upon such consideration by the MCA an ETV to cover the area would be very beneficial. (Concerns Outstanding)  With regards to navigational safety Stena Line has concerns that the Applicant's final position is that the Applicant will not indemnify shipping operators from losses or damages incurred through the emergency use of anchors, brought about by Force Majeure occurrences. Stena therefore continues to have serious concerns in respect of the effects upon its operations. (Not agreed)  Stena Line disagree with the position that only IMO recognised Traffic Separation Schemes constitute Sea lanes. Stena Line consider that the presence of Morgan Generation Assets potentially requires three of Stena lines Belfast — Liverpool RoRo's to deviate up to twice a day each. Stena Line contend that their current passage is a recognised sea lane. (Not agreed).  Residual moderate adverse effects on Stena Line routes between Liverpool, Heysham and Belfast have been identified (both alone and cumulatively) and engagement is ongoing between Stena Line and the Applicant to resolve these residual effects. The parties have not yet entered into an agreement and discussions remain ongoing; the matter of mitigation remains to be settled as the Examination draws to a close (ongoing discussion).	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.  Some matters agreed, some matters agreed with concerns outstanding, some matters not agreed, some matters ongoing discussion.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
	Shipping routes and scheduled services	The UK Chamber of Shipping	<b>Green:</b> The Applicant and UK Chamber of Shipping are agreed on the position for shipping routes and scheduled services.  The Applicant and UK Chamber of Shipping agree that the Morgan Generation Assets and cumulative projects would impact scheduled ferry services in the Irish sea and engagement is ongoing with affected parties to address residual impacts.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 3.
Effects to marine communications and mobile radar operations	Effects to marine communications and mobile radar operations	Isle of Man Steam Packet Company	Green: The Applicant and IoMSPC are agreed on a position.  IoMSPC cannot comment on SAR until plans have been confirmed, however IoMSPC note that plans will be developed subject to approval by the MCA.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 3.
		Stena Line	Light Green: Stena Line and the Applicant are broadly agreed with some concerns outstanding.  Key Issue:  Stena Line has raised concerns on the effect of Morgan Generation Assets on the use of marine radar for navigation, collision avoidance and search and rescue. The Applicant has assessed these effects within the NRA (APP-060) and concluded the effects were minor adverse. Stena Line consider that there remains a continuing element of uncertainty as to the level of interference and the risk that poses, not just to Stena Line vessels but all vessels dependent on radar monitoring. The position is agreed in the SoCG but with concerns outstanding.  The Applicant will discuss with the MCA during the post consent/pre-construction stage the need for providing in-field, marine band VHF radio communications aerial(s) that can cover the entire wind farm site and its surrounding area. Stena Line consider that there remains a continuing element of uncertainty as to the level of interference and the risk that poses, not just to Stena Line vessels but all vessels dependent on radar monitoring. The position is agreed in the SoCG but with concerns outstanding.	Matter agreed with concerns outstanding in SoCG at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
7 - Marine archa	eology and cultur	al heritage		
Effects to marine archaeology and setting of terrestrial heritage assets	Effects to setting of terrestrial heritage assets including World Heritage Sites	Historic England	<b>Green:</b> Historic England agree with the Applicant's position on the setting of terrestrial heritage assets and have stated this in their written representations (REP1-045.35).	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
	Adequacy of Outline Offshore Written Scheme of	Historic England	<b>Green:</b> Historic England are in agreement on the adequacy of Outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigation for archaeology and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
	Investigation for archaeology and Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries	Manx National Heritage	<b>Green:</b> Manx National Heritage has provided a letter of comfort (submitted at Deadline 1) to say they are not opposed to the Morgan Generation Assets.	N/A
	Proposed temporary and permanent Archaeological Exclusion Zones and their radius or extent	Historic England	<b>Green:</b> Historic England agree with the Applicant's decision to place AEZs around the anomalies considered to be of either 'high' or 'medium' potential and the use of a Temporary AEZ (TAEZ) for the charted aircraft crash location and two sites in the array buffer zone, and have stated this in their written representations (REP1-045.22).	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
		Manx National Heritage	<b>Green:</b> Manx National Heritage has provided a letter of comfort (submitted at Deadline 1) to say they are not opposed to the Morgan Generation Assets.	N/A
	Principles for cable laying and protection	Historic England	<b>Green:</b> Historic England are in agreement on the principles for cable laying and protection.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
8 – Data sources	s, methodology an	d assumptions bel	hind the Environmental Statement Common to all topi	cs
Compliance with Marine Policy Statement and North West Marine Plan 2021	How the Application is compliant with the Marine Policy Statement and North West Marine Plan 2021	Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO has confirmed that the document submitted by the Applicant (REP2-006) shows compliance with all policies contained within the North West Inshore and North West Offshore Marine Plan Policy and the matter can be resolved.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
Drafting of the DCO and Deemed Marine Licences	Marine conditions and outline plans within the Draft DCO and Marine Licences.  Marine Licences  Organisation  and the Applicant regarding the draft DCO and deemed Marine Licences.  Key Issues:  UXO clearance within the DMLs. MMO consider that clearance of unexploded ordinance (UXO) should not be included as a license activity in the DMLs. The MMO has welcomed changes made by the Applicant to restrict the DMLs to clearance by low order techniques only. The Applicant's position remains that it is appropriate and justified to include UXO clearance activities with	Licences.  Key Issues:  UXO clearance within the DMLs. MMO consider that clearance of unexploded ordinance (UXO) should not be included as a licensed activity in the DMLs. The MMO has welcomed changes made by the Applicant to restrict the DMLs to clearance by low order	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed.	
			The MMO has suggested through the Examination that the DMLs should include a restriction on piling activity during the herring and cod spawning periods. The Applicant considers that such a restriction is unnecessary and this remains an area of disagreement.	
			Article 7 (Benefit of the Order). The MMO objects to the provisions relating to the process of transferring and/or granting the deemed marine licences set out in the draft DCO at Article 7 (justification is provided in RR-020.9-16 and section 2 of REP2-029, section 4.2 of REP5-056a). If the Applicant ever wished to transfer the powers in the DCO/DML, it is important that they can be transferred together to ensure that the same party has the benefit of the powers and liability for any breach. This remains an area of disagreement.	
			Condition 18 (chemicals, drilling and debris). The MMO suggested alternative wording for this condition that would require "all chemicals and substances" that would be used as part of the licensed activities to be approved in writing by the MMO, at least 10 weeks prior to use. The Applicant considers the proposed wording to be too broad, and would impose unreasonable obligations on the undertaker post-consent.	
			Condition 19 (force majeure) of each DML. The MMO has set out that it does not consider this provision necessary for inclusion within the DMLs and that the condition should be removed. Whilst	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			the Applicant considers that the condition does serve a useful purpose, it does not consider it essential for inclusion in the DMLs. Condition 29 (post construction monitoring). The Applicant has set out on a number of occasions why it considers such a condition unnecessary and unreasonable (see item RR-020.31 in PD1-017; item DCO 1.31 in REP3-006; REP5-010). The MMO has noted the Applicants additional response but still believes this condition is required to ensure the process is clear on what happens post consent. This remains an area of disagreement.	
		Natural England	Red: There are a number of matters not agreed between Natural England and the Applicant regarding the draft DCO and deemed Marine Licences.  Key issues:	Natural England provided an updated risks and issues log at Deadline 5.
			10 year limit for cable protection. Natural England have maintained that a condition should be included within the DMLs that secures that no cable protection can be deployed later than 10 years post-construction, unless a new marine licence is obtained. As the Applicant set out its position within its response to Examining Authority question DCO 1.17 (REP3-006). The Applicant does not consider there to be any reasonable basis on which to impose a time-limit on the activities authorised by the deemed marine licences in the manner suggested by Natural England.	
			Ecological monitoring. Natural England have maintained throughout the Examination that further ecological monitoring (specifically relating to ornithology (Manx shearwater) and marine mammal disturbance from the SBP pre-construction surveys) to that already proposed by the Applicant should be secured through the DMLs, but has not justified its position. The Applicant has committed to monitoring, including adaptive monitoring, where it considers appropriate and capable of delivering tangible benefit. Applying a blanket approach to all monitoring and potential impacts without consideration of practicality and levels of significance would be disproportionate in terms of time and cost and, without clear rationale and objectives, would not provide useful information relevant for future projects. This remains an area of disagreement.	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
Outline plans submitted with the Application	Coverage and content of all outline	Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO and the Applicant are in agreement on the coverage and content of outline plans.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
	plans submitted with the Application	Natural England	Red: There are a number of matters not agreed between Natural England and the Applicant regarding the coverage and content of outline plans.  Key Issues:	Natural England provided an updated risks and issues log at Deadline 5.
			Ecological monitoring within the Offshore in principle monitoring plan. Natural England maintains its position on the need for ecological monitoring for ornithological and marine mammal receptors. The Applicant has committed to monitoring, including adaptive monitoring, where it considers appropriate and capable of delivering tangible benefit. Applying a blanket approach to all monitoring and potential impacts without consideration of practicality and levels of significance would be disproportionate in terms of time and cost and, without clear rationale and objectives, would not provide useful information relevant for future projects. This remains an area of disagreement.	
			Decommissioning plan: Natural England maintains that an outline Decommissioning Plan that outlines all decommissioning options is submitted during the consenting phase, the Applicant maintains that no outline decommissioning plan is considered to be necessary for inclusion with this application, as previously set out (REP5-009). This matter remains unresolved.	
			Outline offshore construction method statement and Outline cable specification and installation plan. Natural England requests detail on cable and scour protection to be included within this plan. The Applicant's position is that it is not in a position to provide further information on detailed design at the consenting stage and further surveys will be undertaken in 2025. This is standard practice across the offshore wind industry. This matter remains unresolved.	
			Outline offshore operations and maintenance plan. The Applicant confirmed in REP5-009 that all reasonably foreseeable operations and maintenance activities have been included within the plan to allow these activities to be fully assessed within the Morgan Generation Assets application. The Applicant has updated the plan	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			at Deadline 6 to include wording which confirms that the plan sets out the project design envelope for operations and maintenance activities, and that they have been fully assessed within each chapter of the EIA.	
	Time periods for securing the review and approval of detailed plans post consent	Marine Management Organisation	Red: the MMO and the Applicant are unable to align their positions regarding time periods for approval of detailed plans post consent. Matter will not reach resolution.  Key Issues:  The MMO has welcomed the update in the draft DCO for relevant documents being submitted six months in advance of approval being required (rather than four months) under condition 21(1) but does not agree that there should be a determination timeframe of six months under condition 21(2) of the draft DCO.	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed.
	Principles of proposals for safety	Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO has noted the Applicants response regarding safety zones (RR-020.86 (PD1-017)) with no further comments.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 5.
	zone applications	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	<b>Green:</b> The Maritime and Coastguard Agency agree with the principles of proposals for safety zones as set out within Document J5: Safety zone statement (APP-106).	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 2.
	Outline Offshore Operations and Maintenance Plan	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	<b>Green:</b> The Maritime and Coastguard Agency agrees with the Applicant's position on matters relating to the Outline offshore operations and maintenance plan.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
		Marine Management Organisation	<b>Green:</b> The MMO agrees with the updated O&M plan and information regarding timeframes. Repairs must be within the EIA parameters.	Matter agreed in SoCG at Deadline 6.
Decommissioning	Principles for decommissioning	Marine Management Organisation	Amber: Matter may not reach resolution but not considered material  Key Issues:  The Applicant notes that the MMO agrees that decommissioning will not be consented as part of the DCO, however the MMO requests an outline decommissioning plan. The Applicant held further discussions with the MMO on this matter on 17 January	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Matters not agreed but not material.



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
			2025 where it was agreed that the SoCG would be updated to reflect that this matter is 'not agreed, but not material'	
Seascape, landscape and visual impacts	Provision of single frame images with a Horizontal Frame of View (HFoV) of 39.6° to be included within the SLVIA for all viewpoints.	Natural England	<b>Green:</b> Natural England notes that document titled 'Annex 3.7 to the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations from Natural England: RR-026.A.21' was submitted at the preexamination procedural deadline. Natural England confirmed they have reviewed this document and have no further comments.	Issue not taken forward into PAD.
9 - Cumulative e	effects with other of	offshore wind farm	s and associated grid connection projects	
Consenting approach for the Morgan Generation Assets and separation of Development Consent Order Applications	Consideration of the indirect, secondary and cumulative impacts from multiple/linked Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects	Natural England	<b>Green:</b> In Natural England's response to ExQ1 regarding stranded assets (REP3-048), Natural England notes the Applicants position but has advised their overarching advice on stranded assets remains unchanged. Natural England raised that the submission of the Morgan and Morecambe Transmission Assets Application in October 2024 provides an opportunity for issues raised in regard to holistically assessing the project to be addressed.	Issue not taken forward into PAD.
Cumulative effects	Availability of	Mooir Vannin	Key Issues:	Final signed SoCG submitted at
assessment with other offshore wind farms	information relating to the Mooir Vannin project and timing of information in the public domain.	Mooir Vannin and timing of ation in the	The Applicant was aware of the Agreement for Lease (AfL) area in Isle of Man waters at the time it was undertaking its Preliminary Environmental Impact Report (PEIR), but there was not a scoping report for the Isle of Man project in the public domain at that time.	Deadline 6. Matter is unresolved.
			During the preparation of the Environmental Statement for application, and whilst undertaking detailed Navigation Risk Assessment work in Q3 2023, the MVOWFL scoping report was published and the Applicant, following the Planning Inspectorate's guidance, considered the Mooir Vannin project as a Tier 2 project in the cumulative effects assessment. The Applicant prepared a CRNRA Addendum to capture Mooir Vannin's scoping boundary.	
			The Applicant understands that MVOWFL are aiming to submit their application to the IoM Government on 12 March 2025, two days after the close of the Morgan Generation Assets Examination.	



Principal issue	Summary of Issue	Interested Party	Current position	SoCG Status
10 - Transbound	dary effects			
Transboundary matters and status of the Isle of Man Government in the Examination	Clarification on whether the Isle of Man (as a UK Crown Dependency) is considered 'part of the UK' for this assessment process	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Seas Committee)	<b>Green:</b> IoM Government (TSC) confirmed this matter has been resolved following the Applicant's response to relevant representation (PD1-017, RR-015.1).	Issue responded to via Relevant Representation – not included within SoCG.



#### 1.4 Statements of Common Ground

- 1.4.1.1 Table 1.3 details the SoCGs which are being progressed with the relevant Interested Parties (IPs) through the Examination.
- 1.4.1.2 The ExA has specified that SoCGs should be submitted at the deadlines as set out in the updated Examination Timetable published in the Rule 8 and Rule 9 Letter. Table 1.3 sets out the status (including progress towards resolution) of each SoCG at Deadline 6.



Table 1.3: Status of SoCG submissions.

Doc Ref	Interested Party	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 1	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 2	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 3	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 4	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 5	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6	Final SoCG Status at Deadline 6
S_D1_BAE	BAE Systems / Walney Aerodrome	<b>✓</b>	X	X	<b>√</b>	X	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. Some matters agreed some matters under discussion in the SoCG.
S_D1_BA	Blackpool Airport	<b>✓</b>	X	X	X	X	<b>✓</b>	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.  Most matters agreed some matters under discussion the SoCG.
S_D1_CRHE	Chrysaor Resources (Irish Sea) Limited / Harbour Energy	<b>✓</b>	X	X	X	X	X	SoCG not progressed.  A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.  Engagement with Harbour Energy is ongoing and, should further agreement be achieved on matters, this will be communicated to the Examining Authority.



Doc Ref	Interested Party	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 1	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 2	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 3	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 4	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 5	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6	Final SoCG Status at Deadline 6
S_D5_NFFO	Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (1) (National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO), representing Whitehaven Fishermen's Cooperative Ltd (WFC) and Welsh Fishermen's Association (WFA))	X	•	X	•	✓ (Final signed)	N/A	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 5.  Most matters agreed some matters not agreed.
S_D6_OF	Commercial Fisheries Stakeholders (2) (Anglo-North Irish Fish Producers Organisation (ANIFPO) and Scottish Fishermen's Federation (SFF) representing Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association (SPFA), Scottish White Fish Producers Association (SWFPA), and West Coast Sea Products (WCSP) Ltd).	X		X	X	X		Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.  Most matters agreed some matters not agreed.
S_D6_HE	Historic England	Х	х	х	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. All matters agreed.





Doc Ref	Interested Party	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 1	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 2	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 3	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 4	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 5	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6	Final SoCG Status at Deadline 6
S_D6- IoM_TSC	Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)	✓	Х	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Х	<b>√</b>	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. All matters agreed.
S_D6- IoM_SPC	Isle of Man Steam Packet Company	✓	X	<b>✓</b>	X	X	<b>✓</b>	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.  Most matters agreed some matters not agreed.
N/A	Joint Nature Conservation Committee	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	JNCC has confirmed that a SoCG is not required.
N/A	Manx National Heritage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Manx National Heritage has provided a letter of comfort in place of a SoCG noting no objection. This has been submitted at Deadline 1.
S_D6_MMO	Marine Management Organisation	<b>✓</b>	Х	<b>√</b>	Х	Х	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.  Most matters agreed some matters not agreed.
S_D6_MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	Х	<b>✓</b>	X	Х	✓	<b>√</b>	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6. All matters agreed.

Doc Ref	Interested Party	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 1	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 2	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 3	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 4	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 5	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6	Final SoCG Status at Deadline 6
S_D6_MV	Mooir Vannin	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								Some matters agreed some matters not agreed.
S_D6_DIO	DIO (Including RAF Valley Aerodrome and	✓	Х	Х	Х	✓	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
	Warton Aerodrome)							Most matters agreed, some matters under discussion in the SoCG.
S_D5_NATS	NATS Safeguarding	<b>✓</b>	X	X	Х	<b>✓</b>	N/A	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 5.
						(Final signed)		Most matters agreed. One matter not agreed pending conclusion of commercial agreements.
N/A	Natural England	and N/A N/A N/A X N/A	N/A	SoCG not required. NE has confirmed they will provide a Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary (PADS)				
								Some matters agreed some matters not agreed.



Doc Ref	Interested Party	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 1	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 2	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 3	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 4	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 5	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6	Final SoCG Status at Deadline 6
S_D6_NRW	Natural Resources Wales	Х	✓	Х	X	X	<b>√</b>	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								Most matters agreed some matters agreed with caveats.
S_D2_IP	Ørsted Interested	Х	✓	Х	Х	Х	Х	SoCG not progressed.
	Parties							A signed SoCG has not been submitted at Deadline 6.
								The Applicant and Orsted IPs agreed not to submit a Final signed SoCG. The Applicant considers that both party's position is clearly stated in their written submissions and a further iteration of the SoCG would not provide further clarity or areas of agreement.
N/A	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd has confirmed a SoCG is not required.
S_D6_RNLDS WY	Ronaldsway Airport N/A	✓	Х	Х	Х	Х	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								Most matters agreed some matters not agreed.



Doc Ref	Interested Party	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 1	Initial SoCG Submitted at Deadline 2	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 3	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 4	Updated SoCG Submitted at Deadline 5	Final signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6	Final SoCG Status at Deadline 6
S_D6_RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								Some matters agreed some matters not agreed.
S_D3_STENA	Stena Line	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								Some matters agreed, some matters agreed with concerns outstanding and some matters not agreed.
S_D6_TH	Trinity House	✓	Х	✓	X	Х	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								All matters agreed.
S_D6_CoS	The UK Chamber of Shipping	✓	Х	<b>√</b>	Х	✓	✓	Signed SoCG submitted at Deadline 6.
								Most matters agreed some matters not agreed.